1	THE CITY OF ROCKVILLE PLANNING COMMISSION
2	
3	
4	ROCKVILLE'S PIKE PLAN
5	Meeting 05-11
6	
7	
8	TRANSCRIPT
9	O F
10	PROCEEDINGS
11	
12	ROCKVILLE CITY HALL
13	Rockville, Maryland
14	March 20, 2013
15	1141011 20, 2013
16	
17	BEFORE:
18	JERRY CALLISTEIN, Chairman
19	DAVID HILL, Commissioner
20	DON HADLEY, Commissioner
21	JOHN TYNER, Commissioner
22	DION TRAHAN, Commissioner
23	
24	JACK LEIDERMAN, Commissioner
25	KATE OSTELL, Commissioner
	Deposition Services, Inc. 12321 Middlebrook Road, Suite 210 Germantown, MD 20874 Tel: (301) 881-3344 Fax: (301) 881-3338 info@Deposition Services come gurun Deposition Services come

CONTENTS

PAGE
3 6 10

PROCEED INGS

(This transcript includes the requested portions of the meeting only.)

CHAIRMAN: Sir, please speak directly into the mic and state your name and address for the record.

MR. DYER: Good evening. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, I'm Robert Dyer, I actually live in Bethesda, not in Rockville.

CHAIRMAN: That's okay.

MR. DYER: I'm also a loyal patron of Rockville businesses for 40 year. You have a great city here, and I think the changing the formula is really the planning equivalent of new Coke, we all know how that went. I prefer Rockville classic.

Roger Lewis told you Rockville needs the change,
Roger Lewis lives in the Palisades, the suburban part of D.C.
that hasn't changed in 30 years. Why do smart growth
advocates refuse to take the medicine they prescribe for the
rest of us.

The transportation part of the plan remains a collection of hopes and dreams rather than solid data. If our military planned like this we'd all be speaking Russian here tonight. I'm shocked there's still no mention of the Rockville Freeway, or Rockville facility in here. We cannot absorb the traffic of this plan without the Rockville Freeway

1.0

1.8

between 355 and the ICC.

I'm also concerned about Twinbrook with the growth plan for the Pike and through Viers Mill. Twinbrook is really the nut in that nutcracker. I would ask you to strike the sentence on page 4-26 under incentives to build crossing over CSX, quote, while the current residential development along Lewis Avenue makes this difficult, future opportunities may allow such a crossing. If I'm a homeowner on Lewis Avenue I'd be worried about what those future opportunities are.

Waldorf has a successful commercial highway corridor, it's number 20 on Money's best places to live.

We're told the Pike should emulate Clarendon, Clarendon is number 44. With the Pike's current design you see a sign, you see ample surface parking, you pull in and patronize that business. In Bethesda, 355 is a canyon and you don't notice the businesses as you drive past. The question before you is is Rockville a city of neighborhoods of diverse residents and business owners with a commercial spine that serves their needs, or is it a concrete canyon that imposes itself on those neighborhoods ultimately devouring them in favor of wealthy department dwellers sipping latter along the JM State Highway, the choice is yours.

And I'd like to thank all of you for all the time you've put in on this, you don't get paid money to do this,

and I thought you asked some very good questions. I don't know if you agree that you didn't always get good answers from the panels, but thank you. And I hope that more people will come in to testify because it's very important. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Are there any questions?

COMMISSIONER HILL: I do have a question for Mr.

Dyer. I just want to make sure that we are talking about a pedestrian crossing over the railroad tracks between the Pike and Twinbrook. Is that what you were speaking to?

MR. DYER: There were two issues about that specifically mentioned. One is the type of bridge, I believe the language in that section describes a pedestrian and/or vehicular in the one I cited there. And secondly, the concern for me is not only the question of what would be the reason that this would be possible? Would it mean that houses would be torn down? And there would be questions, I think some of the comments that were brought up previously prior to the whole process was about cars parking in Twinbrook where parking is limited in a the developments on the other side, and that perhaps if you have more ways to get across the tracks you could have people dropping their car off and then running across the bridge to the restaurants.

So, I thought that was not just the property owners' issue as

far as what would happen to homes there, but even if the homes stayed, more crossings could mean more parking abuses 2 in the Twinbrook neighborhood. 3 4 COMMISSIONER HILL: Okay. Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. All right. Since we had no one else who had signed up directly is there anyone else who 6 7 would like to speak this evening? No one? 8 MS. MULLICAN: I would. 9 CHAIRMAN: Please. We've worked so hard, we want to hear your feedback. So, again --10 11 MS. MULLICAN: I understand if --CHAIRMAN: -- please speak directly into the mic. 12 13 MS. MULLICAN: -- I speak tonight I can't speak 14 again, or something, whatever. 15 CHAIRMAN: You can always provide written 16 responses. 17 MS. MULLICAN: Right. Well --18 CHAIRMAN: So --19 MS. MULLICAN: -- because no one else signed up I 20 came here to hear a lot of citizens talk about this plan, I 21 decided okay, I'll take the time. 22 First of all, I want to thank -- oh, I'm Brigitta Mullican, and I live on Lewis Avenue, and I don't have a lot 23 of concerns like a lot of people do, it's a public street, 24 and yes, sometimes the Rockville Pike has a little gridlock, 25

2.0

we give them more traffic, that's just normal. Okay. If you're going to have growth you're going to have traffic. I remember Mayor Counsel making a big deal about parking, and the meters on Lewis Avenue. There are meters on Lewis Avenue that are not being used at this time, hopefully in the future the City will get some revenue when there's more of a parking issue, but there's no parking issue at the Twinbrook Metro Station, at least on my side of Twinbrook.

I want to thank you all, because I've been listening to you all on Wednesday nights when you all have been talking about this for a long, long time, and I appreciate John talking about Lewis Avenue, and the concern about the shadowing and the height of the building and all that stuff. But I'm not just interested because I'm a Lewis Avenue person, I'm interested because I know how the plan works, and I don't get real hung up like some people do, we know we have traffic problems, and people don't like development, but the City needs to have a plan because developers are going to re-develop certain areas in the Pike, so we need to have some sort of continuity of what they are allowed and not allowed to do.

My concern is to how this two-mile, or less than two-mile stretch is planned into being compatible to the rest of the Pike with the County. The County does their thing, I understand you all have been working with them, so my concern

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

is that we can't get stuck on just what we think we want to do if it's not compatible with the County. We talk about boulevard, there's some people that like it, some don't.

The other thing is I like the fact that you all said you added in some bike lanes. If it's just going to be two miles and it doesn't connect to the County bike lanes then I would be very disappointed. But again, it's a plan, you don't know what's going to happen. I want to thank you for all your time, and I will listen to see what other people say, and I just want to give you the compliments because you're going to hit some people that are really nit-gritty, worry about well, the plan changes, as we all know, but you need to have a plan for the staff and for developer to have some guidance. So, thank you for everything you've done. You've really had some great questions. I love all of you all when you deliberate with these issues that I think you're representing the community very well. Most people don't come out here to speak because they expect you to do it for them. So, thank you.

CHAIRMAN: Thanks for watching.

COMMISSIONER HILL: I do have a question.

MS. MULLICAN: You're always on.

COMMISSIONER HILL: I do have a question.

CHAIRMAN: Brigitta?

COMMISSIONER HILL: As a Lewis Avenue resident in

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

particular I just was wondering about this access, the idea of adding access between the Pike. We've looked at that as a great advantage to the neighborhood because Twinbrook is very much isolated from the Pike by the railroad tracks, but there was a great interesting point made tonight that that could be a hazard to the neighborhood, too.

MS. MULLICAN: I heard what he said, and I also know that when the Twinbrook Sector Plan, and when we've had the charades and all that, when people, neighbors have gotten together there's always been talk about we should have another access over the railroad, pedestrian and vehicle. don't think that's ever going to happen. If you understand anything about funding, transportation, and WMATA, and the State, and the County, I don't ever see it in my lifetime, I'm not even sure in my granddaughter's lifetime. I mean, I think it would be great if we had another way to come over, but I don't think it'll ever happen. any time I hear things about I'm going to tear down houses on Lewis Avenue to do something I have a little bit more reason to not believe. It's sometimes a conversation thing to get people excited, you know, and, no, I don't have a concern. But it would be, it's a nice wish list to be able to have another access. Right now I think there's talk about closing the Randolph Road because of the Montrose thing. again, that's a County issue, but it seems like they want to

do everything for safety reason, they're not going to want to create another problem, I guess, to have another vehicle/pedestrian overpass.

What I'm disappointed at is that when they are redoing the Twinbrook WMATA, the, you know, development I was sorry that maybe that couldn't have been made bigger and some more, you know, maybe even a car go through it, or something. I mean, that's where I'm disappointed. But I understand how it works, you know, WMATA to get more money, I mean, it's a big issue. But we all have wish lists like that. I don't see it happening.

COMMISSIONER HILL: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Is there anyone else who wishes to speak? Please.

MS. MITCHELL: Oh, wow. I'm her neighbor. I live on Lemay. I'm Shanna Mitchell, I'm a new homeowner, and I live very close --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Spell your name please?

MS. MITCHELL: Sure. S-H-A-N-N-A. I am really glad that you brought up, that she brought up some points, and the other speaker, the gentleman today, that was most enlightening. I want to say that most people just cut through Twinbrook Station to get to the Pike. I don't really, I think it'd be nice to have another access point, but I don't think it's really necessary or critical. Parking

is also not really a problem because it's permitted nine to five, we could always just expand that, that's not really a big deal in that, you know, make sure that people who are not just commuting and dropping off their cars and doing shopping or going to the Metro, you know, they don't abuse that. So, I don't really think that, I think that's an easy fix, you could just expand the permitting process, no problem.

I think what concerns me, I mean, first of all, I want to know if people have actually been to Lewis Avenue? Have they actually looked at how the Metro parking lot towers over our houses there? I don't even live on Lewis Avenue and I can see it, you know, just, just, you know, miles away. I take nightly walks all around, and I really can't believe, really, 13 stories? So, my first question is, you know, have you guys ever been there? I'm just sort of in disbelief that, you know, it sounds like oh, we have this gradient plan, and it's really cool because it won't dwarf the houses, but that's already happening with the Metro building. These are very small 1950s mid-century buildings, I don't, I'm not really sure if 13 stories is really, or 11 plus two is really cutting it, you know.

And the other thing that I want to say in the limited time that I have left, you know, there's, we kind of have a joke, especially with the younger set, like, you know, teens, twenties and thirties we joke a lot about white

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

people's problems, and I'm kind of amazed because my neighbors on Lewis Avenue, I don't know about your section, but I would say it's 80, 90 percent Hispanic. I'm not seeing really a lot of representation from this area. I understand we're talking about a very small part of this overall plan, but we also have to acknowledge that this is the plan that is mostly intensely developed, and are we doing a lot of, are there enough representation from all these communities who are going to be affected the most? Are there materials that are out in Spanish, for example? You know, I'm normally not, I'm Jewish, I'm not even a person who would normally take up this torch, but I just came from my neighborhood and I saw 90 percent of one sort of people, and I come here and I'm seeing 90 percent or so visibly from what I can tell superficially of another sort, you know, are we, my concern is are we involving everyone who's going to be directly affected by these 13-story buildings? And that's my time. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HILL: Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Any questions? Okay. (Whereupon, the requested portions of the meeting were concluded.)

23

24

25

DIGITALLY SIGNED CERTIFICATE

DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the City of Rockville's Planning Board in the matter of:

ROCKVILLE PIKE PLAN

Meeting 05-11

Caula Undervior

By:_____

Date: March 29, 2013

Paula Underwood, Transcriber